

PRESENTATION TITLE: \_\_\_\_\_

GROUP MEMBERS: \_\_\_\_\_

Criteria	Strong (3)	Good (2)	Minimal (1)	Score
<b>Structure and Organization</b>	Presentation followed a clear and logical progression (introduction, body, and conclusion). Slides were utilized to enhance main points.	Presentation mostly followed a logical progression, but some slides were out of sort.	Presentation seemed disorganized and main concepts weren't effectively explained.	
<b>Content</b>	Presenters interpreted information on slides for audience. Terms/graphs/charts were effectively explained and labeled.	Some explanations were confusing, and/or labels missing.	Interpretation missing. Labels missing and/or inappropriate.	
<b>Presence</b>	All presenters spoke directly to audience, appeared comfortable, and natural.	Most of the members spoke to the audience, though frequently read from slides.	Read directly from slides. Presenters do not appear to be prepared.	
<b>Impact</b>	Main message was clear and presenters were enthusiastic and confident in their material. Audience appeared engaged.	Presenters didn't appear fully confident in the material. Audience seemed sometimes bored/unengaged	Presenters seemed uncomfortable with material. Audience appeared bored/confused.	
<b>Voice and Time</b>	All members use a loud voice and presentation is within the allotted time (20 – 25 minutes).	Most of the members use a loud voice and presentation is within the allotted time (20 – 25 minutes).	Presentation is not within the allotted time (20 – 25 minutes).	
<b>Peer Review</b>	<b>Strong (10)</b>	<b>Good (8)</b>	<b>Minimal (6)</b>	<b>AVG</b>

Does the presentation include all of the required components?

1 2 3 4 5

- Issue stated?
- History?
- Activity?
- Positions?
- Summary?

YES / NO  
 YES / NO  
 YES / NO  
 YES / NO  
 YES / NO

COMMENTS...