In Summary

Key Idea

Inductive reasoning involves looking at specific examples. By observing
patterns and identifying properties in these examples, you may be able
to make a general conclusion, which you can state as a conjecture.

Need to Know

- A conjecture is based on evidence you have gathered.
- More support for a conjecture strengthens the conjecture, but does not prove it.

HW... Que 5 1/2015

p. 12: #1 3; #6 - (1); (13) 15; 16

I. Troy works at a ski shop in Whistler, British Columbia, where three types of downhill skis are available: parabolic, twin tip, and powder. The manager of the store has ordered 100 pairs of each type, in various lengths, for the upcoming ski season. What conjecture did the manager make? Explain.


They will sell equal amounts of each type -

3. Make a conjecture about the sum of two even integers. Develop evidence to test your conjecture.

The answer will be even. 2+4=6 4+12=16 3+4=6 3+60=84


6. Use the evidence given in the chart below to make a conjecture. Will always be Provide more evidence to support your conjecture.

Polygon	quadrilateral	pentagon 5	hexagon 6	
Fewest Number of Triangles	2	3	4	



13. Text messages often include cryptic abbreviations, such as L2G (love to go), 2MI (too much information) (LOL llaugh out loud), and MTF (more to follow). Make a conjecture about the cryptic abbreviations used in text messages, and provide evidence to support your use the 1st word conjecture.

REVIEW...



1.3

Using Reasoning to Find a Counterexample to a Conjecture

GOAL

Invalidate a conjecture by finding a contradiction.

To restate what you have read so far, a conjecture is a mathematical statement that has been proposed as a true statement, but not yet proven or disproved.

Once a conjecture is proven, it is a mathematical fact.

One method to test a conjecture is to attempt to **disprove** it by using a **counterexample**.

For example:


Conjecture: All prime numbers are odd. Counterexample: But 2 is a prime number.

The counterexample disproves the conjecture, hence we can conclude that not all prime numbers are odd.

EXAMPLE #2:

 $\chi^2 \geq$

Conjecture:



0,25 < 0.5

Conjecture: For all real numbers x, the expressions x^2 is greater than or equal to x

Here is a counterexample:

 $(0.5)^2$ = 0.25, and 0.25 is **not** greater than or equal to 0.5

In fact, any number between 0 and 1 is a counterexample. The conjecture is false.

COUNTEREXAMPLE???

PAGE 21

EXAMPLE 3

Using reasoning to find a counterexample to a conjecture

Matt found an interesting numeric pattern:

$$1 \cdot 8 + 1 = 9$$

$$12 \cdot 8 + 2 = 98$$

$$123 \cdot 8 + 3 = 987$$

$$1234 \cdot 8 + 4 = 9876$$

Matt thinks that this pattern will continue.

Search for a counterexample to Matt's conjecture.

Kublu's Solution

$$1 \cdot 8 + 1 = 9$$

$$12 \cdot 8 + 2 = 98$$

$$123 \cdot 8 + 3 = 987$$

$$1234 \cdot 8 + 4 = 9876$$

The pattern seemed to be related to the first factor (the factor that wasn't 8), the number that was added, and the product.

	Α	В
1	$1 \cdot 8 + 1$	9
2	$12 \cdot 8 + 2$	98
3	123 • 8 + 3	987
4	1234 • 8 + 4	9876
5	12345 • 8 + 5	98765
6	123456 • 8 + 6	987654
7	1234567 • 8 + 7	9876543
8	12345678 • 8 + 8	98765432
9	123456789 • 8 + 9	987654321

I used a spreadsheet to see if the pattern continued. The spreadsheet showed that it did.

When I came to the tenth step in the sequence,

each way that 10 and 0 could be represented.

I had to decide whether to use 10 or 0 in the first

factor and as the number to add. I decided to check

123456789**10** · 8 + **10** = 98 765 431 290

 $1234567890 \cdot 8 + 10 = 9876543130$

 $12345678910 \cdot 8 + 0 = 98765431280$

 $123456789\mathbf{0} \cdot 8 + \mathbf{0} = 9876543120$

The pattern holds true until 9 of the 10 digits are included. At the tenth step in the sequence, a counterexample is found.

Since the pattern did not continue, Matt's conjecture is invalid.

Revised conjecture: When the value of the addend is 1 to 9, the pattern will continue.

I decided to revise Matt's conjecture by limiting it.

Your Turn

If Kublu had not found a counterexample at the tenth step, should she have continued looking? When would it be reasonable to stop gathering evidence if all the examples supported the conjecture? Justify your decision.



Answer

If Kublu had not found a counterexample at the 10th step, she could have still stopped there. With the quantity of evidence found to support the conjecture, and a two-digit number further validating the conjecture, the conjecture could be considered strongly supported. If she had wanted to do one more example, then it might have been logical to try a three-digit number to see if the conjecture was valid in that case.

Mathematical HISTORY???

Goldbach's Conjecture

One famous example of an unproven conjecture has remained undecided for nearly 300 years.

In the early 1700's, Christian Goldbach, a Prussian mathematician, noticed that many even numbers greater than 2 can be written as the sum of two primes. Expanding on examples like these, Goldbach wrote the following conjecture:

4 = 2 + 2	10 = 3 + 7	16 = 3 + 13
6 = 3 + 3	12 = 5 + 7	18 = 5 + 13
8 = 3 + 5	14 = 3 + 11	20 = 3 + 17

Conjecture: Every even number greater than 2 can be written as the sum of two primes.

To this day, no one has proven **Goldbach's Conjecture** or found a counterexample to show that it is false. It is still unknown whether this conjecture is true or false. It is known, however, that all even numbers up to 4×10^{18} confirm Goldbach's Conjecture.



Christian Goldbach (1690 – 1764) was a German mathematician famous for his eponymous Conjecture. Goldbach's Conjecture is one of the most infamous problems in mathematics, and states that every even integer number greater than 2 can be expressed as the sum of two prime numbers. For example, 4–2+2, 6–3+3, and 8–3+5. While there have not been any counter-examples found up through $4\times10^{18} (as~of~2012)$, the conjecture has not yet been formally proven.

1.2


Exploring the Validity of Conjectures


AB is longer BC GOAL Determine whether a conjecture is valid. **EXPLORE** the Math p. 16 Your brain can be deceived.


Make a conjecture about diagonal AB and diagonal BC.

EXPLORE the Math

Your brain can be deceived.








Make a conjecture about the circles in the centre.


EXPLORE the Math



Make a conjecture about the lines.

EXPLORE the Math

Your brain can be deceived.



Make a conjecture about the number of triangles.

Reflecting


- A. Describe the steps you took to verify your conjectures.
- **B.** After collecting evidence, did you decide to revise either of your conjectures? Explain.
- C. Can you be certain that the evidence you collect leads to a correct conjecture? Explain.

Answers

- **A.** Both measurement and visual inspection helped to verify or discredit the conjectures.
- **B.** My conjectures changed as follows after collecting more evidence:
 - · First image: Both diagonals are the same length.
 - · Second image: The centre circles of the figures are the same size.
 - Third image: The rows and columns of white and black shapes are placed in straight lines.
 - · Fourth image: There are no triangles in the figure.

C.

Some other optical illusions...



M. C. Escher...

http://www.mcescher.com/



Three Dragons



three_dragons.wmv

3D Chalk Art.. Julian Beever



"Julian Beever is an English, Belgium-based chalk artist who has been creating trompe-l'œil chalk drawings on pavement surfaces since the mid-1990s. His works are created using a projection called anamorphosis, and create the illusion of three dimensions when viewed from the correct angle."

44 Amazing Julian Beever's 3D Pavement or awings

1.2 - Validity of Conjectures? In Summary page 17

1.3 - Counterexamples

• Some conjectures initially seem to be valid, but are shown not to be valid after more evidence is gathered.

Need to Know

- The best we can say about a conjecture reached through inductive reasoning is that there is evidence either to support or deny it.
- · A conjecture may be revised, based on new evidence.

In Summary page 22

Key Ideas

- Once you have found a counterexample to a conjecture, you have disproved the conjecture. This means that the conjecture is invalid.
- You may be able to use a counterexample to help you revise a conjecture.

Need to Know

- · A single counterexample is enough to disprove a conjecture.
- Even if you cannot find a counterexample, you cannot be certain that there is not one. Any supporting evidence you develop while searching for a counterexample, however, does increase the likelihood that the conjecture is true.

HOMEWORK...

p. 17: #1 & 2

p. 22: #1, 3, 5, 8, 12, 17

1s3e3 final.mp4 three_dragons.wmv