


**Coop 120 - Project #1 Presentation**

**TITLE:** \_\_\_\_\_

**GROUP MEMBERS:** \_\_\_\_\_

**MEDIA USED:** \_\_\_\_\_



Criteria	Strong (3)	Good (2)	Minimal (1)	Score
<b>Structure and Organization</b>	Presentation followed a clear and logical progression (introduction, body, and conclusion). Slides were utilized to enhance main points.	Presentation mostly followed a logical progression, but some slides were out of sort.	Presentation seemed disorganized and main concepts weren't effectively explained.	
<b>Content</b>	Presenters interpreted information on slides for audience. Terms/graphs/charts were effectively explained and labeled.	Some explanations were confusing, and/or labels missing.	Interpretation missing. Labels missing and/or inappropriate.	
<b>Presence</b>	Presenter spoke directly to audience, appeared comfortable, and natural.  <b>NAME(S):</b>	Presenter spoke to the audience, though frequently read from slides.  <b>NAME(S):</b>	Presenter do not appear to be prepared...read directly from slides.  <b>NAME(S):</b>	
<b>Impact</b>	Main message was clear and presenters were enthusiastic and confident in their material. Audience appeared engaged.	Presenters didn't appear fully confident in the material. Audience seemed sometimes bored/unengaged	Presenters seemed uncomfortable with material. Audience appeared bored/confused.	
<b>Voice and Time</b>	All members use a loud voice and presentation is within the allotted time (4 - 6 minutes).	Most of the members use a loud voice and presentation is within the allotted time (4 - 6 minutes).	Presentation is not within the allotted time (4 - 6 minutes).	
<b>Peer Review</b>	<b>Strong (10)</b>	<b>Good (8)</b>	<b>Minimal (6)</b>	<b>AVG</b>

COMMENTS...

TOTAL SCORES: \_\_\_\_ / 25 Marks