

Here are three possible answers:

• If the colour sequence is red, orange, and yellow, the rest of the sequence may be green, blue, and purple. These colours are the primary and secondary colours seen on a colour wheel.



• If the colour sequence is red, orange, and yellow, the rest of the sequence may be green, blue, indigo, and violet. These colours are those of a rainbow.



• If the colour sequence is red, orange, and yellow, the rest of the sequence may repeat these three colours.



# **INVESTIGATE** the Math

Georgia, a fabric artist, has been patterning with equilateral triangles. Consider Georgia's **conjecture** about the following pattern.



conjecture A testable expression that is based on available evidence but is not yet proved.

I think Figure 10 in this pattern will have 100 triangles, and all these triangles will be congruent to the triangle in Figure 1.

#### P How did Georgia arrive at this conjecture?

A. Organize the information about the pattern in a table.

Figure	1	2	3	4	5	6	1	8	9	10
Number of	1	4	V	1	74	21	46	2	<i>«</i>	110
Triangles		D L	ה	10	( لو	70	11	01	01	1.

- B. With a partner, discuss what you'notice about the data in the table.
- C. Extend the pattern for two more figures.
- D. What numeric pattern do you see in the table?

#### Answers

A.	Figure	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
	Number of Triangles	1	4	9	16	25	36	49	64	81	100

**B.** The pattern in the table shows that the number of triangles equals the square of the figure number.

C.



**D.** Figure 11 has 11<sup>2</sup> or 121 triangles. Figure 12 has 12<sup>2</sup> or 144 triangles.

The numeric pattern in the table shows that each figure will have a perfect square of congruent triangles. The number of congruent triangles in each figure is the square of the figure number.

# Reflecting

- E. Is Georgia's conjecture reasonable? Explain.
- F. How did Georgia use / inductive reasoning / to develop her conjecture?
- **G.** Is there a different conjecture you could make based upon the pattern you see? Explain.

#### Answers

- **E.** Georgia's conjecture is reasonable because, when the table is extended to the 10th figure, the pattern of values is the same as Georgia's prediction.
- **F.** Georgia used inductive reasoning by gathering evidence about more cases. This evidence established a pattern. Based on this pattern, Georgia made a prediction about what the values would be for a figure not shown in the evidence.
- **G.** A different conjecture could be made because a different pattern could have been seen. If the focus had been only on the congruent triangles with their vertices at the bottom and their horizontal sides at the top, then the following conjecture could have been made: The 5th figure will have 10 congruent triangles.



#### inductive reasoning

Drawing a general conclusion by observing patterns and identifying properties in specific examples.

1		
EXAMPLE 2 Using induc	ive reasoning to develop a conjecture aboy	t integers
Make a conjecture about the produ	t of two odd integers. $3x5 = 0$	5
Jay's Solution	-5x -7=35/1/x9=	99
(+3)(+7) = (+21)	Odd integers can be negative or po positive odd integers first. The prod and odd.	ositive. I tried two duct was positive
(-5)(-3) = (+15)	Next, I tried two negative odd interwas again positive and odd.	gers. The product
(+3)(-3) = (-9)	Then I tried the other possible com positive odd integer and one negat This product was negative and odd	bination: one ive odd integer. I.
My conjecture is that the product of two odd integers is an odd integer.	f I noticed that each pair of integers in an odd product.	I tried resulted
(-211)(-17) = (+3587)	I tried other integers to test my cor The product was again odd.	njecture.

EXAMPLE 3	Using inductive reasoning to develop a conjecture about perfect square	res			
Make a conjecture about the <u>difference</u> between consecutive perfect squares.					
Steffan's Solution: Comparing the squares geometrically $7b - 9 = 7$					
<b>•••</b> •••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	I represented the difference using unit tiles for each perfect square First, I made a $3 \times 3$ square in orange and placed a yellow $2 \times 2$ square on top. When I subtracted the $2 \times 2$ square, I had 5 orange unit tiles left.	e.			
	Next, I made $3 \times 3$ and $4 \times 4$ squares. When I subtracted the $3 \times 3$ square, I was left with 7 orange unit tiles. I decided to try greater squares.				
My conjecture is between consecut an odd number.	at the difference e squares is always	ge ne ge oer.			
	I tested my conjecture with the perfect squares $7 \times 7$ and $8 \times 8$ . The difference was an odd number.				

The example supports my conjecture.

## EXAMPLE 3 Using inductive reasoning to develop a conjecture about perfect squares

Make a conjecture about the difference between consecutive perfect squares.

## Francesca's Solution: Describing the difference numerically

$2^2 - 1^2 = 4 - 1$ $2^2 - 1^2 = 3$ I started with the smallest possible perfect square and the next greater perfect square: 1 <sup>2</sup> and 2 <sup>2</sup> . The difference was 3.	
$4^2 - 3^2 = 7$ $b^2 - 8^2 = 17$ Then I used the perfect squares $3^2$ and $4^2$ . The difference was 7. So I decided to try even greater squares.	Э,
My conjecture is that the difference I thought about what all three differences—3, 7, and 17—had in	
common. They were all prime numbers.	
s always a prime number.	
$12^2 - 11^2 = 23$ To test my conjecture, I tried the perfect squares $11^2$ and $12^2$ . The difference was a prime number.	
The summary summary and summary	

The example supports my conjecture.

# EXAMPLE 4 Using inductive reasoning to develop a conjecture about quadrilaterals

Make a conjecture about the shape that is created by joining the <u>midpoints</u> of adjacent sides in any quadrilateral.

# Marc's Solution: Using a protractor and ruler

<u></u>	
	I drew an irregular quadrilateral on tracing paper. I used my ruler to determine the midpoints of each side. I joined the midpoints of adjacent sides to form a new quadrilateral. This quadrilateral looked like a parallelogram.
	Next, I drew a trapezoid with sides that were four different lengths. I determined the midpoints of the sides. When the midpoints were joined, the new quadrilateral looked like a parallelogram.
and and and and a start of the	I used my ruler to confirm that the opposite sides were equal.
My conjecture is that joining the adjacent midpoints of any quadrilateral will create a parallelogram.	Each time I joined the midpoints, a parallelogram was formed.
	To check my conjecture one more time, I drew a rectangle. I determined its midpoints and joined them. This quadrilateral also looked like a parallelogram.
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
	I checked the measures of the angles in the new quadrilateral. The opposite angles were equal. The new quadrilateral was a parallelogram, just like the others were.

The rectangle example supports my conjecture.

#### EXAMPLE 4 Using inductive reasoning to develop a conjecture about quadrilaterals

Make a conjecture about the shape that is created by joining the midpoints of adjacent sides in any quadrilateral.



#### Tracey's Solution: Using dynamic geometry software





To check my conjecture, I tried an isosceles trapezoid. The new quadrilateral, *EFGH*, was a rhombus.

The isosceles trapezoid example supports my conjecture.

## In Summary

#### Key Idea

Inductive reasoning involves looking at specific examples. By observing
patterns and identifying properties in these examples, you may be able
to make a general conclusion, which you can state as a conjecture.

#### Need to Know

- A conjecture is based on evidence you have gathered.
- More support for a conjecture strengthens the conjecture, but does not prove it.

# HW...

# p. 12: #1 - 3; #6 - 11; 13; 15; 16

NOTES - Chapter 1 Definitions.docx

PM11-1s1.gsp